Case 3:10-cv-00841-RS Document 17 Filed 08/23/10 Page 1 of 4 *E-Filed 8/23/10* 1 STEVEN M. WOODSIDE, State Bar No. 58684 County Counsel ANNÉ L. KECK, State Bar No. 136315 Deputy County Counsel 3 County of Sonoma 575 Administration Drive, Room 105A 4 Santa Rosa, California 95403-2815 Telephone: (707) 565-2421 5 Facsimile: (707) 565-2624 E-mail: akeck@sonoma-county.org 6 7 Attorneys for Defendants the County of Sonoma, Jerry Allen, Sheriff-Coroner 8 William Cogbill, Nicholas Honey, Sheriff's Deputy Michael Shanahan, Sheriff's 9 Deputy Caroline Japp, and County employees, Betty Johnson, Jo Weber, 10 and Robin Smith 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 14 SALLY STEINHART, No. CV-10-00841 RS 15 Plaintiff. 16 STIPULATION TO (1) CONTINUE v. DATE TO HEAR COUNTY 17 COUNTY OF SONOMA, SHERIFF-CORONER **DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS; (2) EXTEND COUNTY** BILL COGBILL and DEPUTY SHERIFFS M. 18 SHANAHAN and CAROLYN JAAP; HUMAN **DEFENDANTS' TIME TO FILE ANTI-**SERVICES DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR JO SLAPP MOTION UNDER CAL. CCP 19 WEBER; FAMILY, YOUTH & CHILDREN'S SECTION 425.16(f); [PROPOSED] SERVICES DIVISION DIRECTOR CAROL **ORDER** 20 BAUER; YOUTH & CHILDREN'S SERVICES DIVISION DIRECTOR NICHOLAS HONEY: 21 CHILD WELFARE SERVICES/ PROTECTIVE SERVICES SOCIAL WORKERS JERRY ALLEN. 22 BETTY JOHNSON, and ROBIN SMITH, individually and in their official capacities, 23 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES; DOES 1-50, and ROES 1-50, and 24 MOES 1-50, inclusive, jointly and severally, 25 Defendants.

Stipulation to (1) Continue Date to Hear County 1
Defendants' Motion to Dismiss; (2) Extend County
Defendants' Time to File Anti-Slapp Motion
Under Cal. CCP Section 425.16(f); [proposed] Order

26

27

28

USDC Case No. CV-10-00841 RS

This joint stipulation and request for entry of order pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12 is entered into by and between Plaintiff in pro per, Sally Steinhart ("Plaintiff"), and Defendants the County of Sonoma, Sheriff-Coroner William Cogbill, and County employees Michael Shanahan, Caroline Jaap, Jo Weber, Nicholas Honey, Jerry Allen, Betty Johnson and Robin Smith (collectively, "County Defendants"). Defendant the California State Department of Social Services is not a party to this stipulation. This stipulation and concomitant request for an Order is based upon both Plaintiff and the County Defendants' request to continue the hearing date of the County Defendants' Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint, and Motion for More Definite Statement, filed on July 26, 2010, and currently to be heard on September 9, 2010; as well as to provide County Defendants additional time in which to file an Anti-SLAPP Motion under California Code of Civil Procedure Section 425.16 to a date *after* the County Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint is heard. The terms and provisions of this stipulation and request for order are set forth below.

RECITALS

- A. Plaintiff filed her Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief and Damages herein on February 26, 2010. Plaintiff believes that she effectuated service of the Complaint on all County Defendants identified above on or about May 12, 2010. Plaintiff has not yet effectuated service on Defendant the State Department of Social Services, nor Defendant and former Sonoma County employee Carol Bauer (retired).
- B. Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint (the "First Amended Complaint") herein on June 21, 2010.
- C. County Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint and concurrent Motion for More Definite Statement on July 26, 2010 (hereinafter collectively, "Motion to Dismiss"). This Motion to Dismiss is currently set to be heard on September 9, 2010, at 1:30 p.m. The thrust of this Motion to Dismiss is to request dismissal of all claims for relief against County Defendants.

- 17 18 19
- 21

23

24 25

26

27 28

D. If certain issues are not resolved pursuant to the Motion to Dismiss, County Defendants intend to file an Anti-SLAPP Motion under California Code of Civil Procedure Section 425.16 (see Exhibit A). The Anti-SLAPP Motion would request this Court to strike all state law claims and related allegations against the County's Human Services Department employee defendants on grounds of protected speech activities by governmental entities and their representatives.

- E. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedural Section 426.16(f), Anti-SLAPP motions should be brought within 60 days of the service of the relevant complaint. However, under California Code of Civil Procedure Section 425.16(f), the Court has the discretion to extend the 60 day filing period to "any later time upon terms it deems proper." It is reasonable and proper on grounds of judicial economy to allow the County Defendants additional time in which to file and serve their Anti-SLAPP Motion to a time after their Motion to Dismiss is heard, as the reasons to bring the Anti-SLAPP Motion would become moot if this Court issues an order which grants the County's Motion to Dismiss, thus dismissing the County's Human Services Department employee defendants from the lawsuit.
- F. Hence, on August 5, 2010, the County approached Plaintiff with the request to stipulate to extend the time to file and serve the Anti-SLAPP motion until after the Court issues its order resolving the pending Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiff indicated she would stipulate to such as long as the County agreed to continue the hearing date of the Motion to Dismiss for three weeks, as she is presently in trial on another matter and is in the middle of moving her residence. The County so agreed.

WHEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate and request entry of a court order as follows:

STIPULATION

1. The current hearing date for the County Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint, and Motion for More Definite Statement (along with the concurrent Request for Judicial Notice re the Motion to Dismiss and Administrative Motion to File Under Seal